



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,555		11/12/1999	HITOSHI YASUDA	B208-1060	1785
26272	7590	12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
COWAN	LIEBO	WITZ & LATMA	WILSON, JACQUELINE B		
JOHN J TO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS 1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS				2612	TH ER NONDER
NEW YOR	RK, NY	10017	DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/439,555	YASUDA, HITOSHI	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline Wilson	2612	· ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		•
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			`:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the no	ried set forth in	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			• .
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in		rially reducing or sin	nalifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	Thetter form for appear by mate	rially reducing or sin	ipiliyilig tile
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		, ,	•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· /	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			• •
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 5 and 12-16.	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11 and 17-25</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•		•••
_	(5)(1110 1440)1 upoi 110(3)	·	
10. Other:	•		↓
		•	•
		,	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "before determining whether a focusing direction of said focus adjusting system is the same or not more than a predetermined number of time..." would require further search and/or consideration..

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600